	Case 1:21-cr-00051-NODJ-BAM Document 8	7 Filed 08/26/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:21-CR-00051-NODJ-BAM
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	
14	OSCAR ORELLAN GUEVARA,	
15	Defendant.	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 1:22-CR-00232-JLT-SKO
17	Plaintiffs,	RELATED CASE ORDER
18	v.	
19	MARTIN ALFREDO LEIVA-LEIVA, et al.,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	Examination of the above continued action	s rayouls that they are related within the
24	Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of the Local Rules. "[B]oth actions involve similar questions of fact and the same	
25	question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a	
26	substantial savings of judicial effort." Local Rule 123(a)(3). The assignment of these matters to	
27	the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be	
28	convenient for the parties.	
	1	

## Case 1:21-cr-00051-NODJ-BAM Document 87 Filed 08/26/24 Page 2 of 2

The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions to be
assigned to the same judge—it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related cases
are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
assigned.

As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that Case No. 1:22-CR-00232-JLT-SKO is reassigned
from District Judge Jennifer L. Thurston to NODJ and from Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto to
Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of criminal cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

shall show Case No. 1:22-CR-00232-NODJ-BAM.

DATED: August 23, 2024.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE